

1
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4 OAKLAND DIVISION
5

6 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

7 Plaintiff,

8 vs.

9 MICHAEL P. HOLLINS,

10 Defendant.

Case No: CR 92-0126-1 SBA

ORDER

11
12 The Court has received the following pro se motions from Defendant Michael P.
13 Hollins (“Defendant’s Motions”) regarding the pending supervised release violation
14 proceedings in this matter: (1) a “Motion Requesting Receipt of the 123 Days Street Time
15 Credit” filed on October 8, 2010, in which Defendant appears to ask for credit for time served
16 (Dkt. 259); (2) a “Motion Regarding the Use of Mechanical Restraints” filed on October 8,
17 2010, in which Defendant appears to ask that he be detained without restraints while appearing
18 in court (Dkt. 260); (3) a “Motion Requesting that Discovery and Related Materials Pertinent to
19 the Case be Afforded” filed on October 8, 2010, in which Defendant appears to move for an
20 order directing the government to provide him with discovery materials related to this matter
21 (Dkt. 261); and (4) a “Motion to Waive Time as a Result of Existing State Case and Pending
22 Charge” filed on October 18, 2010, in which Defendant seeks a continuance of this matter
23 (Dkt. 265).

24 However, Defendant has not provided any legal authority in support of his motions. In
25 addition, Defendant has since been appointed counsel. Therefore, to the extent that
26 Defendant’s motions are still valid, they are more appropriately submitted through his counsel,
27 who can provide legal authority and related arguments in support of his requests. Accordingly,
28

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Defendant's Motions are DENIED without
2 prejudice. This order terminates Dockets 259, 260, 261, and 265.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 Dated: January 19, 2011


5 SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG
6 United States District Judge
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28